MODULE TEST REPORT UL 9540A Test Method for Evaluating Thermal Runaway Fire Propagation in Battery Energy Storage Systems (AACD)				
Project Number4789109222.4Date of issueApril 30, 2020Date of RevisionJuly 8, 2020 (UL Project 4789542457)Total number of pages25				
UL Report Office: UL LLC				
Applicant's name: Natron Energy, Inc.				
Address 3542 Bassett Street				
Santa Clara, 95054				
USA				
Test specification:	4 th Edition, Section 7, November 12, 2019			
Standard:	UL 9540A, Test Method for Evaluating Thermal Runaway Fire Propagation in Battery Energy Storage Systems			
Test procedure:	8.1 - 8.4			
Non-standard test method:	Overcharge Methodology			

Copyright © 2020 UL LLC All Rights Reserved.

General disclaimer:

The test results presented in this report relate only to the sample tested.

UL LLC did not select the sample(s), determine whether the sample(s) was representative of production samples, witness the production of the test sample(s), nor were we provided with information relative to the formulation or identification of component materials used in the test sample(s).

The issuance of this report in no way implies Listing, Classification or Recognition by UL and does not authorize the use of UL Listing, Classification or Recognition Marks or any other reference to UL on the product or system. UL LLC authorizes the above named company to reproduce this Report provided it is reproduced in its entirety. UL's name or marks cannot be used in any packaging, advertising, promotion or marketing relating to the data in this Report, without UL's prior written permission.

UL LLC, its employees, and its agents shall not be responsible to anyone for the use or non-use of the information contained in this Report, and shall not incur any obligation or liability for damages, including consequential damages, arising out of or in connection with the use of, or inability to use, the information contained in this Report.

Test item description:	Sodium Ion Battery Mo	odule	
Module cell configuration (xS/yP):	32S/1P		
Number of cells in module.:	32		
Cells in Module:	Natron Energy, Inc.		
Manufacturer Name	V6.0		
Part Number	Sodium Ion		
•Chemistry	Pouch		
•Format			
Module dimensions (X x Y x Z (mm))	483 x 600 x 435 mm		
Module weight (kgs)	37lbs (16.78kg)		
Module enclosure material:	Aluminium		
Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM):	Natron Energy, Inc.		
Branding Manufacturer (if not OEM):	N/A		
Model No	Blue Tray 4000		
Ratings (Vdc, Ah)	i gs (Vdc, Ah) : 50Vdc, 4.6Ah		
Test item certified?	No		
Standard test item certified to:	N/A		
Organization that certified test item:	N/A		
Intended BESS Manufacturer and Model No.:	N/A		
Standard BESS certified to:	N/A		
Organization that certified BESS:	N/A		
Testing Laboratory and testing location(s):			
Testing Laboratory:	UL LLC		
Testing location/ address	333 Pfingsten Road		
	Northbrook, IL 60062 USA		
Tested by (name, signature)	Dustin Fox	Dustin Fox	
	Dan Wade	Dan Wade	
Witnessed by (for 3 rd Party Lab Test Location)	n/a	n/a	
(name, signature)			
Project Handler (name, signature):	Nathan Wang	Nathan Wang	
Reviewer (name, signature)	Thomas Skowera	Thomas Skowera	

List of Attachments (including a total nun	nber of pages in each attachment):	
Attachment A: Module Conditioning (Charg	e/discharge) Profiles - (<i>Page</i> 15)	
Attachment B: Module Construction Photos	- (Page 16)	
Attachment C: Module Instrumentation Pho	tos - (Pages 17 through 18)	
Attachment D: Module and Initiating Cell(s)	Temperature Profiles During Testing - (Pages 19 through	
<i>20</i>)		
Attachment E: Module Testing Photos - (P	Pages 21 through 23)	
Attachment F: Module Gas Flow Rate and I	Heat Release Profiles – (<i>Pages 24 through 25)</i>	
Summary of cell testing: Cell Level Test F Issued: December 23, 2019, Revised Janu	Report is filed under UL Project Number: 4789109222 ary 14, 2020	
Cell testing consisted of external heating Thermal runaway was not achieved durin	, overcharge, external short circuit, and nail penetration. g any of these cell failure test methods.	
Venting was observed with the use of an method was used for module level testing	external heater and overcharge methods. Overcharge g.	
Cell failure test method performed (summ	nary of method and test clause):	
External heating using thin film with 4°C	to 7°C thermal ramp.	
Nail Penetration		
🛛 Overcharge		
D External short circuit (X Ω external resist	tance)	
Others		
Description of method used to fail cells if other than external thin film heater with thermal ramp, : The overcharge conditioned used to attempt to put the cell into an abnormal condition to cause venting or thermal runaway. The charge voltage was increased by 1 volt and held for a minimum of one minute before increasing the voltage again. The maximum charge values used were 79.5V, 1A. The fan provided was not operated during the test.		
Test Results from Cell Level Test:	Venting occurred, no thermal runaway observed	
Average cell surface temperature at gas venting, °C:	81.9ºC	
Average cell surface temperature at thermal runaway, °C:	N/A	
Description of components employed wit protection features).	hin the module that serve to supress propagation (fire	
N/A – the module does not employ any components intended to serve to supress propagation.		
Summary of Module Test Results		
Thermal Runaway Propagation:	No observation	
External Flaming:	No observation	
Location of Flame Venting:	N/A	

Flying Debris:	No observation			
Re-ignitions: No observation				
Gas Analysis:				
☑ Flame ionization detection				
Fourier-Transform infrared Spectrome	ter			
🛛 Hydrogen Sensor (palladium-nickel, th	in-film solid sta	te sensor)		
⊠ White light source with photo detector	(smoke release	rate)		
Summary of Gas Analysis Data:				
Gas Composition & Volume for Each Compound (Pre-flaming and	Gas Compound	Gas Type	Pre-Flaming (L)	Flaming (L)
After flame):	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Smoke Release Rate (m ² /s)	N/A – initiat	no venting o ting cell obse	or thermal run erved	away of the
Total Smoke Released: (m ²)	N/A – no venting or thermal runaway of the initiating cell observed			
Peak Chemical Heat Release Rate: (kW):	N/A – no venting or thermal runaway of the initiating cell observed			
Performance Criteria Met in accordance with Clause 8.4:				
- No thermal runaway observed				
- Cell vent gas flammable as determined by the cell level test				



Test Item Charge/Discharge Specifications:

- Charge current, A:
- Maximum charge voltage, Vdc:
- Charge temperature range, °C:
- End of charge current, A:
- Discharge current, A:
- End of discharge voltage, Vdc:
- Discharge temperature range, °C:

Standard: 4A (Maximum: 80A)
58V
-20°C to 45°C
0.2A
Standard: 4A (Maximum: 200A)
32V for discharge > 4A
38V for discharge < 4A

-20°C to 45°C

Test item particulars	N/A	
Possible test case verdicts:		
- test case does not apply to the test object::	N/A	
- test object does meet the requirement::	P (Pass)	
- test object does not meet the requirement:	F (Fail)	
Testing:	Natron Blue Tray 4000 module	
Date of receipt of test item:	2020-01-27	
Date of performance of tests:	2020-02-04	
General remarks: No venting or thermal runaway of overcharged expanded but did not rupture.	bserved during testing. The cell being	
"(See Enclosure #)" refers to additional information ap "(See appended table)" refers to a table appended to the Throughout this report a point is used as the decire	ppended to the report. ne report. mal separator.	
Manufacturer's Declaration of samples submitted for	or test:	
The applicant for this report includes samples from more than one factory location and a declaration from the Manufacturer stating that the sample(s) submitted for evaluation is (are) representative of the products from each factory has been provided	 ☐ Yes ☑ Not applicable 	
Name and address of factory:	Natron Energy	
	3542 Bassett St	
	Santa Clara, CA 95054	
General product information and other remarks:		
The wiring utilized for overcharging of the cell were pr to route wiring, only a 24 gauge wire could be used ar test. The reduced current would result in a longer cha	ovided by the customer. Due to the space available nd therefore the current was limited to <2A for the rge time to achieve a set voltage point.	
 Revision notes: July 8, 2020 (UL Project# 4789542457) -The V6.0 cell capacity rating has been increased from 4.3Ah to 4.6Ah. The increased capacity is a result of the end point cutoff voltage discharge specification being reduced from 1.0V to 0V. This change in end point voltage does not affect the test results as the cell was tested at 100% SOC and the charge voltage rating has not changed. The module ratings were also updated to 4.6Ah as the cells are all in a series configuration. No additional testing considered necessary to update the report to reflect the new capacity rating. This revision is to be consistent with the UL1973 certification of the V6.0 cell under UL file number MH63828. 		
-Page 2, updated nominal capacity of module fror	n 4.3Ah to 4.6Ah	
Page 14 undated nominal capacity of call from 4	34b to 4 64b	

5.0	CONSTRUCTION		Verdict
5.2	Module Construction		—
5.2.1, 5.2.3	Construction information	See Test Item Description at the beginning of this report	_
	General layout of module contents	See Attachment B	—
5.2.2	Module certified to UL 1973	Module is not certified	N/A
	Organization that certified module:	N/A	
6.0	PERFORMANCE		Verdict
6.1	General		
8.1	Samples		
8.1.1	Samples conditioned through charge discharge cycling a minimum of 2 cycles.	See Attachment A for profiles See Table 1 for specifications	Р
8.1.2	100% SOC and stabilize from 1h to 8 h before testing	See also Table 2	
8.1.3	Electronic controls such as BMS not relied upon during testing.		Р
8.2	Test Method		
8.2.1	Ambient indoor laboratory conditions: 25 ±5°C (77 ±9°F) ≤50 ±25% RH at the initiation of the test.	See Table 3 The RH of the test room was lower than 25%RH and did not affect the results of the test.	F
8.2.2	Test conducted under a smoke collection hood appropriately sized for the module		Р
8.2.3	The weight of the module was recorded before and after testing, (kg)	See Table 11	Р
8,2,4	A sufficient number of cells were forced into thermal runaway to create a condition of cell to cell propagation within the module.	See Attachment C See Tables 4 and 5	N/A
	The location of the cell(s) forced into thermal runaway were selected to present the greatest thermal exposure to adjacent cells	See Attachment C for figures showing location within the module of the cell(s) forced into thermal runaway	N/A
8.2.5	The method used to initiating thermal runaway in the cell(s) were in accordance with 7.2	See Summary of Cell Testing at the beginning of this report.	Р
8.2.6	The occurrence of thermal runaway was verified	See Test Results from Cell Level Test from the beginning of this report	N/A
		See Attachments D	
8.2.7	The module was placed on top of a non-combustible horizontal surface with the module orientation representative of its intended final installation.	See Attachment E	Р

8.2.8	The chemical heat release rate of the module was measured with oxygen consumption calorimetry	See Table 10 See Attachment F The graph provided indicates the noise from the sensor, there was no thermal event of the cell and therefore no heat release rate measured.	Ρ
8.2.9	The chemical heat relate rate was measured for the duration of the test	See Attachment F	Р
8.2.10	 The chemical heat release rate was measured using the following equipment: Paramagnetic oxygen analyser Non-dispersive infrared carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide analyser Velocity probe Type K thermocouple 	See Attachment F	Ρ
	The instrumentation was located in the exhaust duct of the heat release rate calorimeter at a location that minimizes the influences of bends or exhaust devices.		Ρ
8.2.11	The chemical heat release rate at each of the flows was calculated in accordance with 8.2.11.	See Attachment F	Р
8.2.12	The hydrocarbon content of the vent gas was measured using flame ionization detection.	See Table 8 and 9	Р
	Hydrogen gas shall be measured with a palladium- nickel thin-film solid state sensor.	See Table 9	Р
8.2.13	The hydrocarbon content of the vent gas may also be measured using a Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectrometer with a minimum resolution of 1 cm-1 and a path length of at least 2 m (6.6 ft), or equivalent gas analyzer.	See Attachment F	N/A
	Vent gas velocity and temperature measurements respectively were obtained in the exhaust duct of the heat release rate calorimeter using equipment specified in 8.2.10.		Ρ
8.2.14	The light transmission in the exhaust duct of the heat release rate calorimeter was measured using a white light source and photo detector for the duration of the test.		Ρ
8.2.15	Smoke release rate was calculated as outlined in 8.2.15	See Table 10 See Attachment F	Р
8.3	Module level test report		
	a. Module manufacturer and model number;b. Number of cells in module;c. Module configuration;	See Test Item Description in beginning of this report.	Ρ

	d.	Module construction features;	See Attachment C	
			See Critical Components Table	
			See Also "Description of components employed within the module that impact propagation (fire protection features)" at the beginning of this report.	
	e.	Module voltage corresponding to the tested SOC;	See Table 3	N/A
	f.	Thermal runaway initiation method used;	See Attachment C	Р
	g.	Heat release rate versus time data;	See Table 10	N/A
			See Attachment F	
	h.	Flammable gas generation and composition data;	See Attachment F See Tables 8 and 9	N/A
	i.	Peak smoke release rate and total smoke release data.	See Table 10	N/A
	j.	Observation(s) of flying debris or explosive discharge of gases;	See Table 12	N/A
	k.	Observation(s) of sparks, electrical arcs, or other electrical events;	See Table 12	N/A
	l.	Identification/location of cells(s) that exhibited thermal runaway within the module;	See Tables 4 and 5	N/A
	m.	Locations and visual estimations of flame extension and duration from the module;	See Attachments E See Table 7	N/A
	n.	Module weight loss;	See Table 11	Р
	0.	Video of the test.		Р
8.4	Perfor	mance – Module level		
8.4.1	The fol the mo	lowing performance conditions are met during dule level test:		Р
	a) The	rmal runaway is contained by module design;		
	b) Cell the cel	vent gas is nonflammable as determined by I level test	5.24% LFL	F

Table 1 – Specified conditioning parameters				
Charging: Discharging:				
Current (CC), A	80 A	Current (CC), A	200 A	
Max Charge Voltage (CV), Vdc	58V	End of discharge voltage, Vdc	38V	
End of charge current, A	0.2A	Discharging Test Ambient, °C	-20°C to 45°C	
Charging Test Ambient, °C -20°C to 45°C				
Refer to Attachment A for charge/discharge profiles for the module.				

Table 2 – Charge completion and module test initiation times			
Module No. Charge Completion Date and Time Module Test Date and Time			
T64	2020/02/03 13:30	2020/02/04 12:55	

Table 3 – Test Initiation Details		
	Module No.: T64	
Test Date	2020/02/04	
Test Start Time	12:55	
Initial Lab Temperature	23°C	
Initial Relative Humidity	20.1%R.H ¹	
Module OCV at Start of Test, Vdc	N/A	
	Cell OCV at Start of Test 2.89V	

¹ The relative humidity of the lab was lower than the standard range of 25%RH to 75%RH. This did not affect the test result.

Table 4 – Approximate time of thermal runaway propagation through module		
Time to thermal runaway Location		
N/A – No thermal runaway observed	N/A	

Table 5 – Test overview timeline			
Time (HH:MM:SS)	Event	Description	
00:00:00		Data Acquisition Started	
00:01:08	Test Start	2.5V, current set to 0.5A	
00:02:10		Voltage set to 3.5V	
01:05:24		Voltage set to 4.5V	
02:30:39 – 02:59:02		Voltage increased by 1 V up to 34.5V, current limit increased to 1A	
02:59:47 - 03:02:15		Voltage drops to 3.6V, current drop to 0A	
03:02:16		Voltage set to 4.5V, Current limit reduced to 0.5A	
03:03:08		Voltage set to 5.5V, Current limit increased to 0.75A	
03:08:51		Observe enclosure starting to deform	
03:27:35		Voltage set to 6.5V	
03:29:34		Current limit increased to 1.0A	
03:36:18		Voltage set to 7.5V	
03:40:31		Voltage set to 8.5V	
03:44:20		Voltage set to 9.5V	
03:44:20 - 04:01:42		Voltage remained at 9.5V	
04:01:43 - 04:01:56		Voltage ramped to 18.5V	
04:01:56 - 04:08:08		Voltage increased to 28.5V	
04:08:09 - 04:09:27		Voltage fluctuates between 7.5V – 28.5V	
04:09:27		Voltage stabilizes at 28.5V	
04:11:32		Voltage set to 29.5V	
04:12:40 - 04:46:00		Voltage set to 30.5V	
04:46:01 - 05:02:24		Voltage ramped to 50.5V	
05:02:25 - 05:03:24		Voltage increased to 79.5V	
05:03:24 - 05:18:53		Current drops, cell temperature observed to drop	
05:18:53	End of Test		

Table 6 – Gases measured and measurement methods used in module level testing#				
Measurement Method	Gases Measured	Chemical Formula	Gas Type	
Flame Ionization Detection (FID)	Acetylene	C_2H_2	Hydrocarbons	
	Ethylene	C_2H_4	Hydrocarbons	
	Methane	CH ₄	Hydrocarbons	
	Methanol	CH₃OH	Hydrocarbons	
	Propane	C ₃ H ₈	Hydrocarbons	
	Formaldehyde	CH ₂ O	Hydrocarbons (Aldehydes)	
	Hydrogen Bromide	HBr	Hydrogen Halides	
	Hydrogen Chloride	HCI	Hydrogen Halides	
	Hydrogen Fluoride	HF	Hydrogen Halides	
	Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	Carbon Containing	
	Carbon Monoxide	CO	Carbon Containing	
	Ammonia	NH₃	Nitrogen Containing	
	Hydrogen Cyanide	HCN	Nitrogen Containing	
	Total Hydrocarbons	-	Hydrocarbons	
Solid-state Hydrogen Sensor	Hydrogen	H ₂	-	
# - This table was modified to reflect	the gases measured du	ring testing.	•	

Table 7 - Gas generation periods			
Time		Condition	
N/A - no flaming		Pre-Flaming	
N/A - no flaming		Flaming	
External Flaming of Gas			
Condition	Duration (s)		
External Flaming of Vent Gases:	N/A		

Table 8 – Summary of battery gas volumes identified during thermal runaway in unit test			
Gas Component	Gas Type	During Pre- flaming (L)	During Flaming (L)
Carbon Dioxide	Carbon Containing	N/A	N/A
Carbon Monoxide	Carbon Containing	N/A	N/A
Ethylene	Hydrocarbons	N/A	N/A
Methane	Hydrocarbons	N/A	N/A

Table 9– Summary of battery gas volumes for deflagration hazard calculations			
Gas Component	Gas Type	During Pre- flaming (L)	During Flaming (L)
Total Hydrocarbons (Propane Equivalent)	Hydrocarbons	N/A	N/A
Carbon Dioxide	Carbon Containing	N/A	N/A
Carbon Monoxide	Carbon Containing	N/A	N/A
Hydrogen	Hydrogen	N/A	N/A

Table 10 – Smoke and heat release rate			
Heat Release Rate (HRR)		Smoke Release Rate (SRR)	
Peak Chemical HRR (kW)	N/A	Maximum SRR (m²/s) N/A	
		Total Smoke Released (m ²)	N/A

Table 11 – Module Weight During Test, kg		
Before Test:	37lbs (16.78kg)	
After Test:	37lbs (16.78kg)	
Weight Loss:	Olbs (0kg)	

Table 12 – Other Observations during module test			
Observed, Yes/No Location			
Flying debris	No	N/A	
Explosive discharge of gas	No	N/A	
Sparks or electrical arcs	No	N/A	

7	TABLE: Critical components information				
Object / Part No.	Manufacturer/ trademark	Type / model	Technical data	Standard	Mark(s) of conformity ¹⁾
Cells	Natron Energy Inc	V6.0	1.56V, 4.6Ah	UL 1973	UL MH63828
Case	N/A	Aluminium	N/A	N/A	N/A
Internal Wiring	g Unverified	Unverified	Unverified	Unverified	Unverified
Fire Protection Mechanisms	n N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Electrical Protection Mechanisms	Eaton	180LET	Fuse	Unverified	Unverified
Fan	Unverified	Unverified	Unverified	Unverified	Unverified





Attachment B: Module Construction Photos - (Pages 16 through 16)



Attachment C: : Module Instrumentation Photos - (Pages 17 through 18)



UL 9540A, Edition 4

Page 18 of 25

Project No. Error!



UL 9540A, Edition 4

Attachment D: Module and Initiating Cell Temperature Profiles During Testing - (Pages 19 through 20)



Overcharge Parameters and Initiating Cell Temperature

Fig D1 – Charging Voltage & Current, Initating Cell Temperature



Fig D2 – Temperatures for all parts



Fig D3 – Guide for naming of interal thermal couples

Attachment E: Module Testing Photos - (Pages 21 through 23)



Fig. E1 - Initial Observation of the expansion of the initiating cell causing the enclosure to deform.





Fig. E8 – Initiating cell & surrounding cells	Fig. E9 – Initiating cell (top side)
Fig E10 – Initiating cell (edge)	Fig E11 – Initiating cell (bottom)





Page 25 of 25

